KIAS-P16077

arXiv:1610.05814v1 [hep-th] 18 Oct 2016

Bootstrapping Pure Quantum Gravity in AdS_3

Jin-Beom Bae, Kimyeong Lee, and Sungjay Lee

Korea Institute for Advanced Study
85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-Gu, Seoul 02455, Korea

Abstract

The three-dimensional pure quantum gravity with negative cosmological constant is supposed to be dual to the extremal conformal field theory of central charge $c = 24k$ in two dimensions. We employ the conformal bootstrap method to analyze the extremal CFTs, and find numerical evidence for the non-existence of the extremal CFTs for sufficiently large central charge ($k \geq 20$). We also explore near-extremal CFTs, a small modification of extremal ones, and find similar evidence for their non-existence for large central charge. This indicates, under the assumption of holomorphic factorization, the pure gravity in the weakly curved AdS_3 do not exist as a consistent quantum theory.

1 Introduction

AdS/CFT correspondence has provided many new insights to the nature of quantum gravity. As a simple example of the correspondence, the three-dimensional pure gravity with negative cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm grav} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\int d^3x\sqrt{g}\,\left[\mathcal{R}+\frac{2}{\ell^2}\right], \tag{1}$$

where ℓ denotes the AdS length scale, has received constant attention for many years. It was proposed in [1] that the partition function of the pure quantum gravity in AdS_3 should be holomorphically factorized. Under this assumption, the two-dimensional dual conformal field theory (CFT) can be described as a product of a holomorphic CFT and an anti-holomorphic CFT of central charges $c_L = c_R = 24k$ with positive integer k. In the present work, we focus mostly on the holomorphic CFT.

The pure gravity theory in AdS_3 has two kinds of excitations. One of them is made of the boundary gravitons and the other one of the BTZ black holes. In the dual (holomorphic) CFT, the former can be identified as Virasoro descendants of the vacuum while the latter as the Virasoro primaries. Among the spectrum, the lowest primary states are corresponding to the lightest BTZ black hole in AdS_3 of finite-size horizon. The modular invariance and the Brown-Heanneaux formula then implies that conformal weight of the lowest primary is given by $k+1$ [1].

The partition function $Z_k(\tau)$ of the dual holomorphic CFT can be expanded as

$$Z_k(\tau) = q^{-k}\left[\prod_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^n}+\mathcal{O}(q^{k+1})\right] \tag{2}$$

around small $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. The first term in (2) counts the contribution of Virasoro vacuum descendants, and is not modular invariant by itself. This naturally requires the additional contribution of Virasoro primaries, captured by $\mathcal{O}(q^{k+1})$. Together they define the holomorphic part of the pure quantum gravity partition function in AdS_3. Such (holomorphic) CFTs consistent with the above conditions have been called the extremal CFTs.

The extremal CFT for $k = 1$ is the famous monster CFT, constructed by Frenkel, Lepowski and Meurman [2] as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold of free bosons on the Leech lattice. The symmetry of this CFT is the monster group, that is, the largest sporadic finite simple group. However, it is not entirely clear whether there exist any consistent extremal CFTs with $k \geq 2$. Despite an early attempt to prove their non-existence for sufficiently large k ($k \geq 42$) using the modular differential equation [3], it was shown in [4] that there is a loophole in that argument, and the investigation of this issue has remained inconclusive.

In this present work, we explore the existence of the extremal CFTs for $k \geq 2$ using conformal bootstrap method. The conformal bootstrap method is a program to utilize general consistency conditions such as unitarity and associativity of the operator product expansions (OPE) to understand the nonperturbative aspects of CFTs. The program usually considers four-point correlation functions of bosonic operators. Given certain simple assumptions about the spectrum, the crossing symmetry of four-point functions provides nontrivial constraints, called the bootstrap equations.

In recent years the numerical approach to the conformal bootstrap [5,6] has led to remarkable progress in understanding of the CFTs in higher dimensions, for example, critical exponents, central charges, and OPE coefficients of three-dimensional Ising model [7–9] and $O(N)$ vector models [10,11]. In 2D CFT, the recursive representation of the Virasoro conformal block [12] has been implemented in the numerical conformal bootstrap. This led to a number of new constraints on both spectrum [13] and OPE coefficients [14], previously inaccessible by analytic methods.

In our numerical approach to the extremal CFTs for $k \geq 2$ we focus on the four-point correlation function of a chiral primary operators $\phi(z)$ of the lowest conformal weight $h_\phi = k + 1$. We found numerical evidence supporting the non-existence of extremal CFTs for $k \geq 20$. This implies that, under the assumption of holomorphic factorization, the pure quantum gravity may not exist in the weakly curved AdS_3 space.

We also analyzed the near-extremal CFT [15], a modification of extremal CFT to include small black holes of zero classical entropy. Our analysis indicates that the near-extremal CFT would not be consistently realized for $k \geq 4$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 includes brief reviews on extremal conformal field theory and the Zamolodchikov recursive relation of Virasoro conformal block. Section 3 summarizes the numerical bootstrap process. In section 4, we provides our settings and numerical results. Finally, section 5 conclude with summary of numerical results and discussions.

2 Brief Reviews

2.1 Extremal Conformal Field Theory

Three-dimensional pure gravity with negative cosmological constant is described by the Einstein-Hilbert action (1). One can also describe the pure gravity in three

dimensions as $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons gauge theory at least classically,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm grav} = \frac{k_L}{4\pi}\mathcal{I}_{\rm CS}\left(\mathcal{A}_L\right) - \frac{k_R}{4\pi}\mathcal{I}_{\rm CS}\left(\mathcal{A}_R\right) \tag{3}$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm CS}\left(\mathcal{A}\right) = \int {\rm Tr}\left[\mathcal{A}\wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{A}\wedge\mathcal{A}\wedge\mathcal{A}\right] \ .$$

Here the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ gauge fields $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $\mathcal{A}_R$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_L = \omega - \frac{e}{\ell}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_R = \omega + \frac{e}{\ell} \ , \tag{4}$$

where e and ω denote the vierbein and the spin connection. The two Chern-Simons levels k_L and k_R have to be quantized to integer values in order to make the action (3) gauge invariant. One can show that the action (3) agrees with the Einstein-Hilbert action (1) without the gravitational Chern-Simons coupling, when we set $k_L = k_R = k = \ell/16G_N$.

Combined with the Brown-Henneaux formula $c = \frac{3\ell}{2G_N}$ [16], the quantization of the Chern-Simons level suggests that the dual conformal field theory defined on the boundary of AdS_3 has the central charge

$$c_L = c_R = 24k \tag{5}$$

where k is an arbitrary positive integer. Guided by the above observation, it has been proposed in [1] that the three-dimensional pure quantum gravity in AdS_3 can be defined as a two-dimensional unitary conformal field theory with central charge $c_L = c_R = 24k$. For these values of the central charge, a dual conformal field theory can factorize into a holomorphic CFT and an anti-holomorphic CFT. Due to the modular invariance, the holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) CFT with $c_L = 24k$ ($c_R = 24k$) is further restricted to have operators of integer-valued conformal weights. It implies that the pure quantum gravity in AdS_3 has no fermionic states and the parity symmetry remains intact at the quantum level, so does the dual CFT.

In the present work, we will focus on the holomorphic part of the dual parity-preserving CFT, called extremal CFT. As discussed in the introduction, the partition function of the extremal CFT is as close as possible to the vacuum Virasoro character corresponding to the boundary gravitons. More precisely, the modular invariant partition function has to be of the form

$$Z(\tau) = {\rm Tr}\left[q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}}\right] = q^{-k}\left[\prod_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^n} + \mathcal{O}(q^{k+1})\right] \ , \tag{6}$$

which includes the contribution from the primaries above the vacuum. The primary operators of conformal weight larger than k ($h \geq k+1$) are interpreted as states corresponding to the BTZ black holes with (classical) finite-size horizon. It is a key property that the extremal CFT have a sparse spectrum of states at low energies and a large gap from the vacuum to the first primary operator.

Due to the modular invariance, one can also express the above partition function $Z(\tau)$ having a pole of order k only at $q=0$ as a polynomial in the $J(\tau)$ function of order k. For example, the partition function of the $k=1$ extremal CFT is,

$$Z(\tau) = J(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + 20245856256q^4 + \mathcal{O}(q^5). \quad (7)$$

For the case of $k=1$, the extremal CFT can be constructed as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold of free bosons on the Leech lattice [2]. Among 71 holomorphic CFTs with $c=24$ found in [17], this is the only one without any Kac-Moody algebra. That is expected for a CFT dual to the pure gravity in AdS_3. However, the investigation to the existence of the extremal CFT with $k \geq 2$ has remained incomplete.

2.2 Virasoro Conformal Block

Let us consider a four-point correlation function of a chiral primary operator $\phi(x)$ of conformal weight $(h, \bar{h}) = (h_\phi, 0)$ in a holomorphic part of a unitary parity-preserving CFT with $c_L = c_R = 24k$,

$$\Big\langle \phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)\phi(z_3)\phi(z_4) \Big\rangle \ . \quad (8)$$

Using the global conformal symmetry $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, we can insert the operator $\phi(z)$ at $z_1 = 0, z_2 = z, z_3 = 1$, and $z_4 = \infty$. The complex parameter z governing the dynamics of the correlation function is often called the conformal cross ratio.

The operator product expansion (OPE) of the chiral operator $\phi(z)$ is

$$\phi(z)\phi(0) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} C_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} z^{h_\mathcal{O} - 2h_\phi} \hat{\mathcal{O}}(z) \ , \quad (9)$$

where the sum is over Virasoro primary operators $\mathcal{O}$ of conformal weight $h_\mathcal{O}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(z)$ includes the primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ and its Virasoro descendants. Note that the OPE coefficients $C_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}$ are real-valued for any unitary parity-preserving CFTs [5]. Using the OPE between pairs of the operator ϕ, one can expand the four-point correlation function (8) of a holomorphic CFT into the following form

$$\Big\langle \phi(0)\phi(z)\phi(1)\phi(\infty) \Big\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} C^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}\left(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z\right) \ . \quad (10)$$

The function $\mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z)$ is the Virasoro conformal block. It is known that the global conformal block in two dimensions can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions. However the closed form of the Virasoro conformal block still remains unknown due to the fact that the local Virasoro generators L_n spans a much larger space of states than that of global conformal generators.

Nevertheless the two-dimensional Virasoro algebra suggests a remarkable recursive relation for the Virasoro conformal blocks, proposed by Zamolodchikov [12, 18]. To elaborate it, we start with the elliptic representation of the Virasoro block

$$\mathcal{F}\big(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z\big) = \big[z(1-z)\big]^{\frac{c-1}{24}-2h_\phi} \big[16\ q(z)\big]^{h_\mathcal{O}-\frac{c-1}{24}} \vartheta_3\big(q(z)\big)^{\frac{c-1}{2}-16h_\phi} H\big(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z\big)\ , \tag{11}$$

where the elliptic variable $q(z)$ is defined by

$$q(z) = e^{\pi i \tau(z)}\ , \qquad \tau(z) = i \cdot \frac{{}_2F_1(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1-z)}{{}_2F_1\big(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; z\big)}\ , \tag{12}$$

and the Jacobi theta function is $\vartheta_3(q(z)) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q(z)^{n^2}$. The rational function $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z))$ of $h_\mathcal{O}$ has a recursion formula

$$H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z)) = 1 + \sum_{m,n\geq 1} \frac{(16\ q(z))^{mn} R_{m,n}(c, h_\phi)}{h_\mathcal{O} - h_{m,n}(c)} H(c, h_\phi, h_{m,n}(c) + mn, q(z))\ . \tag{13}$$

This accounts for the fact that the Virasoro block has poles at $h_\mathcal{O} = h_{m,n}(c)$

$$h_{m,n}(c) = \frac{c-1}{24} + \frac{(\alpha_+ m + \alpha_- n)^2}{4}\ , \qquad \alpha_\pm = \sqrt{\frac{1-c}{24}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{25-c}{24}}\ , \tag{14}$$

due to the null states at the level mn. Since the level mn null state can generate its own Verma module, the residue at $h_\mathcal{O} = h_{m,n}(c)$ has to be proportional to the Virasoro block with conformal weight $h_\mathcal{O} = h_{m,n}(c) + mn$.

From the requirement that the residue vanishes when the central charge c is the minimal model central charge, one can carefully determine the remaining piece [12,18],

$$R_{m,n}(c, h_\phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod_{r,s} \left(2\ell_{h_\phi} - \frac{\ell_{r,s}}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\ell_{r,s}}{2}\right)^2 \times \prod_{a,b} \left(\frac{1}{\ell_{a,b}}\right) \tag{15}$$

with

$$\ell_{h_\phi} = \sqrt{h_\phi + \frac{1-c}{24}}\ , \qquad \ell_{r,s} = r\alpha_+ + s\alpha_-\ . \tag{16}$$

The products run over

$$\begin{aligned} r &= -m+1, -m+3, \cdots, m-3, m-1 \\ s &= -n+1, -n+3, \cdots, n-3, n-1 \\ a &= -m+1, -m+2, \cdots, m \\ b &= -n+1, -n+2, \cdots, n \ , \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

but exclude the two cases $(a,b) = (0,0)$ and $(a,b) = (m,n)$.

3 The Numerical Virasoro Bootstrap

The conformal bootstrap program utilizes the basic principles of quantum theory such as associativity of the OPE and unitarity. Using the OPE between different pairs of operators, one can have different expansions of the same four-point correlation function (10). Crossing symmetry of the 4-point function which is the consquence of the associativity of the OPE, then leads to a constraint equation,

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} C^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \Big\{ \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z) - \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; 1-z) \Big\} = 0 \ . \tag{18}$$

For a compact expression of the constraint equation, let us introduce a function

$$\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z) = \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z) - \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; 1-z) \ . \tag{19}$$

Recent breakthroughs in the conformal bootstrap rely on translating the above constraint equation into a numerical problem [6], instead of solving (18) exactly. The basic idea is to find a linear functional α that positively acts on the vacuum Virasoro block and non-negatively acts on all the other Virasoro blocks,

$$\alpha\Big[\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, 0; z)\Big] > 0 \ , \qquad \alpha\Big[\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z)\Big] \geq 0 \ \ ({}^\forall\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbf{1}), \tag{20}$$

under a certain assumption on CFT data, for instance, $\{c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}\}$ where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the unit operator. If one can find such a functional α, then

$$C^2_{\phi\phi\mathbf{1}} \alpha\Big[\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, 0; z)\Big] + \sum_{\mathcal{O}\neq\mathbf{1}} C^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \alpha\Big[\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; z)\Big] > 0 \ , \tag{21}$$

because, as discussed in section 2.2, $C^2_{\phi\phi\mathbf{1}} > 0$ and $C^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \geq 0$ for the CFTs of our interests[#1]. Applying this functional α on the both sides of (18), we find a contradiction. This implies that there is no consistent CFT with the given data, say $\{c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}\}$.

[#1] A few nontrivial OPE coefficients of the extremal CFTs for $k = 1, 2$ were computed in [19].

A convenient choice of a linear functional α is a linear combination of derivatives around the crossing symmetric point

$$\alpha\Big[f(z)\Big] = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \left.\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} f(z)\right|_{z=1/2} , \tag{22}$$

where we can take an arbitrary number for N. With this choice, one can translate the problem (20) into a semi-definite programming problem [6].

To see this, we first note that the derivatives of the Virasoro conformal blocks can (approximately) factorize into two pieces

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n}\mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; 1/2) = \chi(c, h_\mathcal{O}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_n(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}) , \tag{23}$$

one of which is a positive function of conformal weight $h_\mathcal{O}$ ($h_\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^+$), $\chi(h_\mathcal{O})$, and the other is a polynomial of $h_\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{P}_n(h_\mathcal{O})$. The precise form of the positive function $\chi(h_\mathcal{O})$ will be presented later. It is noteworthy that the function $\chi(h_\mathcal{O})$ is independent of the order of derivative n, and thus we can reduce the problem (20) into a nicer one of finding a real N-dimensional vector $\vec{\alpha}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \partial_n \mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, 0; 1/2) > 0 , \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}) \geq 0 , \tag{24}$$

for any positive $h_\mathcal{O}$. Using the Hilbert theorem, one can further reduce (24) into a semi-definite programming (SDP) [6]: find $\{\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \mathcal{B} \succeq 0, \mathcal{C} \succeq 0\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \partial_n \mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, 0; 1/2) > 0 , \\ &\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}) = [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_1}^T \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_1} + h_\mathcal{O} [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_2}^T \cdot \mathcal{C} \cdot [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_2} , \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $M \succeq 0$ denotes a positive semi-definite matrix and $[h_\mathcal{O}]_\delta^T = (1, h_\mathcal{O}, h_\mathcal{O}^2, ..., h_\mathcal{O}^\delta)$. Here $\delta_1 = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ and $\delta_2 = \lceil (d-1)/2 \rceil$ where d is the degree of $\mathcal{P}_n(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O})$.

4 Numerical Analysis

4.1 Settings

Input parameters In order to perform the numerical bootstraps, we need to set various parameters in the SDP problem relevant to the extremal CFTs. The central

charge $c = 24k$, and the conformal weight of the chiral operator $\phi(z)$ is given by $h_\phi = k + 1$. We also introduce a gap in spectrum between the vacuum and any primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ so that $h_\mathcal{O} \geq h_{\rm gap} = k + 1$. As the extremal CFT has the spectrum of integer conformal weights, we introduced m_0 low-lying discrete states of integer conformal weights from h_{gap}.

Given the above CFT data, we focus on the feasibility of semi-definite programming problem (25),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \partial_n \mathcal{G}(c = 24k, h_\phi = k + 1, 0; 1/2) = 1 \tag{26}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c = 24k, h_\phi = k + 1, h_\mathcal{O}) = [h_\mathcal{O}]^T_{\delta_1} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_1} + h_\mathcal{O} [h_\mathcal{O}]^T_{\delta_2} \cdot \mathcal{C} \cdot [h_\mathcal{O}]_{\delta_2} \tag{27}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &h_\mathcal{O} = h_{\rm gap} + m \qquad (m = 0, 1, 2, .., m_0) \\ &h_\mathcal{O} = h_{\rm gap} + (m_0 + 1) + x \qquad (x \geq 0) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Here we use the scale symmetry of the SDP problem (25) to fix the normalization (26). If this semi-definite programming is feasible, the bootstrap equations (18) cannot be satisfied by any consistent conformal field theory with the above given data. On the other hand, if such a feasible solution is failed to be found, the bootstrap equations (18) may or may not be satisfied. Thus one cannot say anything conclusive on the existence of the extremal conformal field theory.

Stability The numerics of Virasoro bootstrap are mainly based on the Zamolodchikov recursive formula (13). If we solve the recursion relation iteratively, the function $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z))$ can be expressed as a power series expansion in terms of the elliptic variable $q(z)$. However, to set a solvable numerical problem, we need to approximate the function $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z))$ by a polynomial of finite order in $q(z)$ and truncate the number of iteration to solve the recursion relation.

Due to the fact that $R_{1,1}(c, h_\phi) = 0$ in (13), one can show that the terms of order up to $2p$ in $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q)$ become exact after repeating the iteration by p-times. It is therefore legitimate to approximate the function $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z))$ by a polynomial of order $2p$ in $q(z)$ for the iteration by p-times.

To justify the validity of approximation via the finite number of iteration, the convergence of series should be guaranteed. In other words, numerical values of the



Figure 1: This plot shows the stabilization of Taylor coefficients of the Virasoro conformal block for $k = 30$. The vertical axis represents $\partial_n \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_{\text{gap}}, 1/2)_{p+1} / \partial_n \mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_{\text{gap}}, 1/2)_p$ where the subscripts denote the numbers of iteration performed to obtain the Virasoro conformal block $\mathcal{F}(c, h_\phi, h_{\text{gap}}, 1/2)$.

Virasoro conformal blocks and their derivatives around the crossing symmetric point $z = 1/2$ should approach certain values as the size of truncation increases. Figure 1 shows the patterns of the various Taylor expansion coefficients of a Virasoro conformal block. The points in this plot represents the ratio of Taylor coefficients obtained after repeating the iteration by $(p+1)$-times and p-times. For a sufficiently large number of iteration, this plot shows the ratio finally settles down to 1, which means that Taylor coefficients are stabilized.

Details of (23) Let us now discuss how we can approximate $\partial_n \mathcal{G}(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}; 1/2)$ as $\chi(c, h_\mathcal{O}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_n(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O})$ where $\chi(c, h_\mathcal{O})$ is a positive function of $h_\mathcal{O}$. As discussed above, we first take a sufficient number of iterations, say p, to get an accurate approximation of $H(c, h_\phi, h_\mathcal{O}, q(z))$ as a polynomial of order $2p$ in q. According to the recursive formula, each coefficient of the polynomial is proportional to $\prod_{m,n} \frac{1}{h - h_{m,n}(c)}$. It is then straightforward to show that $\chi(c, h_\mathcal{O})$ can be expressed as

$$\chi(c, h_\mathcal{O}) = \frac{\left(16 q(\frac{1}{2})\right)^{h_\mathcal{O}}}{\prod_{m,n} h_\mathcal{O} - h_{m,n}(c)} \tag{29}$$

where the denominator is the common denominator in $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $H(c, h_\phi, h_{\mathcal{O}}, q(z))$. The fact that $h_{m,n}(c) < 0$ for $c \geq 25$ ensures that $\chi(h_{\mathcal{O}})$ is positive definite for $h_{\mathcal{O}} \geq 0$[#2]. This allows us to determine the form of the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n(h_{\mathcal{O}})$ for given k.

4.2 Numerical Results

To determine if the semi-definite programming (26) and (27) with (28) is feasible or not, we use the package `SDPB` [20] with the option `dualErrorThreshold` $= 10^{-200}$. The solver terminates itself if a solution $(\alpha, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ satisfying its constraints (26) and (27) to sufficient precision set to 10^{-200} is found. If the `SDPB` finds a feasible solution, there is no CFT with the given CFT data consistent with unitarity and crossing symmetry. On the other hand, if the `SDPB` fails to find a feasible solution, the existence of a consistent CFT with the input CFT data becomes inconclusive.

We numerically checked the consistency of the conformal bootstrap equation for various values of central charge $c = 24k$ with the derivative order in (22) set to 41, namely $N = 41$. For $m_0 = 0$, we do not find a feasible solution up to $k = 70$. We can however constrain the bootstrap equation more strongly by introducing a sufficiently large number of discrete states of low-lying integer conformal weights, i.e., $m_0 \neq 0$. Around $m_0 = 2000$, the `SDPB` start to find feasible solutions $(\alpha_*, \mathcal{B}_*, \mathcal{C}_*)$ for $k = 20$–30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 to the semi-defining programming of our interest. Furthermore, the solutions satisfy the strictly positivity for $h_{\mathcal{O}} = k + 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_*^n \mathcal{P}_n(c = 24k, h_\phi = k+1, h_{\mathcal{O}} = k+1) > 0 \ . \tag{30}$$

It turns out that one can check the above result independently by analyzing another numerical problem. The alternative problem is given below,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \ & \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \partial_n \mathcal{G}(c = 24k, h_\phi = k+1, 0; 1/2) \\ \text{such that} \ & \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c = 24k, h_\phi = k+1, h_{\mathcal{O}} = h_{\text{gap}}) = 1 \\ \text{and} \ & \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c = 24k, h_\phi = k+1, h_{\mathcal{O}}) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

[#2]We can also show the positivity of $\chi(h_{\mathcal{O}})$ even for $c = 24$.



Figure 2: The SDPB solver finds optimal solutions of (31) with the negative maximum value inside grey region. However, (31) has no optimal solutions in exterior region. The red dotted line indicates the case of extremal CFT, i.e., $h_{gap} = k + 1$. In this analysis, h_{gap} takes integer values.

with

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathcal{O}} &= h_{\text{gap}} + m \qquad (m = 1, 2, .., m_0) \\ h_{\mathcal{O}} &= h_{\text{gap}} + (m_0 + 1) + x \qquad (x \geq 0) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $m_0 = 2000$. This is because a feasible solution to (26) and (27) where $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \mathcal{P}_n(c = 24k, h_\phi = k + 1, h_{\mathcal{O}} = h_{\text{gap}}) > 0$ indeed implies that the above optimal problem should not have a solution of negative maximum value. We have examined if (31) has optimal solutions or not by adjusting the parameter h_{gap}. In Figure 2, optimal solutions with negative maximum value are found in the shaded region. On the other hand, no optimal solutions are found outside the shaded region.

The red dotted line, $h_{\text{gap}} = k + 1$, represents the extremal CFTs. This result supports our previous numerical results discussed before (30) suggesting that the non-existence of extremal CFTs for $k = 20$–$30, 40, 50, 60$, and 70 do not exist[#3]. The extremal CFTs for $k = 2$–19 however lie in the shaded region, and their existence remains inconclusive .

[#3]Outside the shaded region, we observed that the SDPB finds a (dual) feasible solution to (31) with positive value for $\alpha^n \partial_n \mathcal{G}(c = 24k, h_\phi = k + 1, 0; 1/2)$ (a.k.a. `dual objective`).



Figure 3: The `SDPB` solver finds optimal solutions of (31) with the negative maximum value inside grey region. However, (31) has no optimal solutions in exterior region. The red dotted line indicates the case of near-extremal CFT, i.e., $h_{gap} = k$. In this analysis, h_{gap} takes integer values.

5 Conclusions and Discussions

In this paper, we utilized conformal bootstrap method to analyze the extremal CFT dual to the 3D pure quantum gravity with a negative cosmological constant. This naturally led us to focus on the $c = 24k$ holomorphic CFT which have a large gap between the vacuum and the lowest primary state. We find numerical evidence for the non-existence of the extremal CFTs for $k \geq 20$. This indicates, under the assumption of holomorphic factorization, the pure gravity in the weakly curved AdS_3 does not exist as a consistent quantum theory.

There has been a recent proposal to include "small black holes" as additional physical states in the pure quantum gravity in AdS_3 [15]. These small black holes are supposed to have zero entropy classically but finite entropy due to quantum corrections. This modification introduces new primary states of conformal weight $h = k$ in the dual CFT. This new CFT is referred to as near-extremal CFT. For the near-extremal CFTs, we have repeated the numerical analysis of the semi-definite programming (26), (27) and (31) by setting $h_\phi = h_{\text{gap}} = k$.

As depicted in Figure 3, our numerical results indicate non-existence of the CFT

for $k \geq 4$. Comparing with the result of the extremal CFTs, a fewer CFTs are allowed to be located in the shaded region.

It is important to explore pure quantum gravity in AdS_3 without relying on the holomorphic factorization. One can use the conformal and modular bootstrap methods to study various constraints on such theories [21, 22]. The supersymmetric extension of extremal CFTs were explored in [23, 24]. We would like to generalize our analysis to investigate the consistency of such supersymmetric extremal CFTs in large central charge limit. It would be also interesting to apply the numerical conformal bootstrap to study various features of generic quantum gravity in higher dimensional AdS space. We leave them for future works.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Harvey, S. Terashima, E. Witten and P. Yi for discussions. We thank KIAS Center for Advanced Computation for providing computing resources. S.L. thank the organizers of the workshops "Nambu Symposium" at the University of Chicago, "String-Math Trimester" at Henri Poincare Institute, "Boundaries and Defects in Quantum Field Theories" at Aspen Center for Physics (supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1066293), and "String and Fields 2016" at Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics for their kind hospitality during some stages of this work. The work of K.L. is supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grants No. 2006-0093850.

References

[1] E. Witten, *Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited*, arXiv:0706.3359.

[2] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, *Vertex Algebras and the Monster*. Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[3] M. R. Gaberdiel, *Constraints on Extremal Self-Dual CFTs*, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 087, [arXiv:0707.4073].

[4] D. Gaiotto, *Monster symmetry and Extremal CFTs*, arXiv:0801.0988.

[5] R. Rattazzi, V. S. Rychkov, E. Tonni, and A. Vichi, *Bounding Scalar Operator Dimensions in 4D CFT*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 031, [arXiv:0807.0004].

[6] D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, *Carving Out the Space of 4D CFTs*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 110, [arXiv:1109.5176].

[7] S. El-Showk, M. F. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, *Solving the 3D Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 025022, [arXiv:1203.6064].

[8] S. El-Showk, M. F. Paulos, D. Poland, S. Rychkov, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, *Solving the 3d Ising Model with the Conformal Bootstrap II. c-Minimization and Precise Critical Exponents*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **157** (2014) 869, [arXiv:1403.4545].

[9] F. Kos, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Bootstrapping Mixed Correlators in the 3D Ising Model*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 109, [arXiv:1406.4858].

[10] F. Kos, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Bootstrapping the $O(N)$ Vector Models*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 091, [arXiv:1307.6856].

[11] F. Kos, D. Poland, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Vichi, *Bootstrapping the O(N) Archipelago*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 106, [arXiv:1504.07997].

[12] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Conformal Symmetry in Two-Dimensions: an Explicit Recurrence Formula for the Conformal Partial Wave Amplitude*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **96** (1984) 419–422.

[13] Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, D. Simmons-Duffin, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, *N=4 Superconformal Bootstrap of the K3 CFT*, arXiv:1511.04065.

[14] I. Esterlis, A. L. Fitzpatrick, and D. Ramirez, *Closure of the Operator Product Expansion in the Non-Unitary Bootstrap*, arXiv:1606.07458.

[15] N. Benjamin, E. Dyer, A. L. Fitzpatrick, A. Maloney, and E. Perlmutter, *Small Black Holes and Near-Extremal CFTs*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 023, [arXiv:1603.08524].

[16] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.

[17] A. N. Schellekens, *Meromorphic $c = 24$ Conformal Field Theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993) 159–186, [hep-th/9205072].

[18] E. Perlmutter, *Virasoro Conformal Blocks in Closed Form*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 088, [arXiv:1502.07742].

[19] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, *Genus Two Partition Functions of Extremal Conformal Field Theories*, *JHEP* **08** (2007) 029, [arXiv:0707.3437].

[20] D. Simmons-Duffin, *A Semidefinite Program Solver for the Conformal Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **06** (2015) 174, [arXiv:1502.02033].

[21] S. Hellerman, *A Universal Inequality for CFT and Quantum Gravity*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 130, [arXiv:0902.2790].

[22] S. Collier, Y.-H. Lin, and X. Yin, *Modular Bootstrap Revisited*, arXiv:1608.06241.

[23] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Gukov, C. A. Keller, G. W. Moore, and H. Ooguri, *Extremal N=(2,2) 2D Conformal Field Theories and Constraints of Modularity*, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **2** (2008) 743–801, [arXiv:0805.4216].

[24] S. M. Harrison, *Extremal Chiral $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCFT with $c = 24$*, arXiv:1602.06930.